

## EVALUATION CRITERIA AND EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

1. This Annex contains criteria to assess the most economically advantageous tender: the formulas for calculating the economic usefulness of tenders and a description of the methodology of supplier expert assessments.

No.	Evaluation criteria	Evaluated papers	Assessment in points	Comparative weight of criterion
1.	<i>Supplier's tender price (C)</i>	Offer form	1-90	X = 90
2.	<i>Experience of the supplier's employees (A)</i>	Experience of the supplier's employees on the completed projects	0-10	Y = 10

2. Evaluation methodology:

2.1. *Economic efficiency (S)* shall be calculated by adding the points of: *Supplier's tender price (C)* and *Experience of the supplier's employees (A)*

$$S = C + A$$

2.2. *Points of the Supplier's tender price (C)* shall be calculated by multiplying the ratio of the smallest proposed price ( $C_{\min}$ ) and the price of the tender under assessment ( $C_p$ ) from the comparative weight of the price - X:

$$C = \frac{C_{\min}}{C_p} \cdot X$$

*Meanings:*

$C$  - Suppliers tender price

$C_{\min}$  - the smallest proposed price

$C_p$  - the price of the tender under assessment

2.3. *Points of the Experience of the supplier's employees criteria (A)* shall be calculated by summing up points of the Experience of the Supplier's employees (max reachable result is 10 points).

2.4. All qualitative criteria shall be evaluated by the expert assessment method. The  $A$  criterion will be calculated if the Supplier's employees has experience in the studies/projects for the transmission system operators of the ENTSO/E organization in the topics listed below:

2.4.1. Power Plants dynamic model verification based on power plant actual test measurements;

2.4.2. Selection of the parameters for Power system stabilizers, and model verification.

Compliance with the Technical Specification according to the evaluated criteria:

<i>Experience of the supplier's employees</i>	<i>Criteria *</i>	<i>Points</i>
<i>Experience of the supplier's employees on projects/studies in accordance to paragraph 2.4.</i>	The Supplier's employees have successfully completed at least 2 (two) projects/studies described in the paragraph 2.4.	4
<i>Experience of the supplier's employees on projects/studies in accordance to paragraph 2.4.</i>	The Supplier's employees have successfully completed at least 3 (three) projects/studies described in the paragraph 2.4.	6
<i>Experience of the supplier's employees on projects/studies in accordance to paragraph 2.4.</i>	The Supplier's employees have successfully completed at least 4 (four) projects/studies described in the paragraph 2.4.	10

\* In assessing the experience of the Supplier's employees, the maximum number of points is indicated in the Point column. If the experience of the Supplier's employees meets the minimum requirements, then 0 points. If correspond specified criteria, the indicated number of points is given.

3. The proposal, which will score the highest score, will be acknowledged as a most economically advantageous.