


## VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay

**REF** 741-4860

08008540001

**IVD**  $\Sigma$  50



**Figure 1.** PD-L1 expression in non-small cell lung cancer.

### INTENDED USE

VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay is intended for laboratory use in the qualitative immunohistochemical assessment of the programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) protein in tumor cells and tumor-infiltrating immune cells in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues indicated below stained with OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit and OptiView Amplification Kit on a BenchMark IHC/ISH instrument.

Determination of PD-L1 status is indication-specific and evaluation is based on either the proportion of tumor area occupied by PD-L1 expressing tumor-infiltrating immune cells (% IC) of any intensity or the percentage of PD-L1 expressing tumor cells (% TC) of any intensity.

VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay is indicated as an aid for identifying patients for treatment with the therapies listed in Table 1 for the respective indications and cutoffs in accordance with the approved therapeutic product labeling.

VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay may be associated with enhanced patient benefit with the therapies listed in Table 2 for the corresponding indication and cutoffs in accordance with the approved therapeutic product labeling.

**Table 1.** VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay companion diagnostic indications.

Indication for use	Therapy	Cutoff
Urothelial Carcinoma	TECENTRIQ	≥ 5% IC
Triple-Negative Breast Carcinoma (TNBC)	TECENTRIQ	≥ 1% IC
Non-small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)	TECENTRIQ	≥ 50% TC or ≥ 10% IC

**Table 2.** VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay complementary diagnostic indication.

Indication for use	Therapy	Cutoff
Non-small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)	TECENTRIQ	≥ 50% TC or ≥ 10% IC
Non-small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)	TECENTRIQ	≥ 1% TC or ≥ 1% IC

Test results of this product should be interpreted by a qualified pathologist in conjunction with histological examination, relevant clinical information, and proper controls.

This product is intended for in vitro diagnostic (IVD) use.

### SUMMARY AND EXPLANATION

VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay is an immunohistochemical assay utilizing an anti-PD-L1 rabbit monoclonal primary antibody to recognize the PD-L1 protein. This assay was co-

developed by Roche/Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. (Ventana) and Roche/Genentech to identify patients who are most likely to respond to treatment with TECENTRIQ® (atezolizumab).

PD-L1 is a transmembrane protein that downregulates immune responses through binding to its two receptors programmed death-1 (PD-1) and B7.1.<sup>1</sup> PD-1 is an inhibitory receptor expressed on T cells following T-cell activation, which is sustained in states of chronic stimulation such as in chronic infection or cancer.<sup>1</sup> Ligation of PD-L1 with PD-1 inhibits T-cell proliferation, cytokine production, and cytolytic activity, leading to the functional inactivation or exhaustion of T cells.<sup>1,2</sup> B7.1 is a molecule expressed on antigen presenting cells and activated T cells.<sup>1,2</sup> PD-L1 binding to B7.1 on T cells and antigen presenting cells can mediate downregulation of immune responses, including inhibition of T-cell activation and cytokine production.<sup>2</sup> PD-L1 expression has been observed in immune cells and malignant cells and aberrant expression of PD-L1 on tumor cells (TC) has been reported to impede anti-tumor immunity, resulting in immune evasion.<sup>1,3</sup> Therefore, interruption of the PD-L1/PD-1 pathway represents an attractive strategy to reinvigorate tumor-specific T cell immunity suppressed by the expression of PD-L1 in the tumor microenvironment. The association between PD-L1 expression in tumor cells or tumor-infiltrating immune cells (IC) and clinical benefit with PD-L1/PD-1 pathway inhibitors has been reported across multiple cancers.<sup>3-10</sup>

Atezolizumab is an Fc-engineered, humanized, monoclonal antibody that binds to PD-L1 and blocks interactions with the PD-1 and B7.1 receptors.<sup>3-10</sup> Atezolizumab is a non-glycosylated IgG1 kappa immunoglobulin that has a calculated molecular mass of 145 kDa.

### PRINCIPLE OF THE PROCEDURE

VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay utilizes a rabbit monoclonal primary antibody that binds to PD-L1 in paraffin-embedded tissue sections. The specific antibody can be visualized using OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit (Cat. No. 760-700 / 06396500001) followed by the OptiView Amplification Kit (Cat. No. 760-099 / 06396518001 (50 test) or 860-099 / 06718663001 (250 test)). Refer to the appropriate method sheets for further information.

### MATERIAL PROVIDED

VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay contains sufficient reagent for 50 tests.

One 5 mL dispenser of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay contains approximately 36 µg of a rabbit monoclonal antibody.

The antibody is diluted in Tris buffered saline, EDTA, Brij-35 with carrier protein and 0.05% sodium azide, a preservative.

Specific antibody concentration is approximately 7 µg/mL.

VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay contains a recombinant rabbit monoclonal antibody produced as purified cell culture supernatant.

Refer to the appropriate interpretation guide for detailed instructions for interpretation of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining in specific indications:

- VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay Interpretation Guide for Urothelial Carcinoma (P/N 1015704)
- VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay Interpretation Guide for NSCLC ≥ 50% TC or ≥ 10% IC Stepwise Scoring Algorithm (P/N 1015703)
- VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay Interpretation Guide for NSCLC ≥ 1% TC or ≥ 1% IC Stepwise Scoring Algorithm (P/N 1015654)
- VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay Interpretation Guide for TNBC (P/N 1018231)

Refer to the appropriate VENTANA detection kit method sheet for detailed descriptions of: Principle of the Procedure, Material and Methods, Specimen Collection and Preparation for Analysis, Quality Control Procedures, Troubleshooting, Interpretation of Results, and Limitations.

### MATERIALS REQUIRED BUT NOT PROVIDED

Staining reagents, such as VENTANA detection kits and ancillary components, including negative and positive tissue control slides, are not provided.

Not all products listed in the method sheet may be available in all geographies. Consult your local support representative.

The following reagents and materials may be required for staining but are not provided:

1. Benign human tonsil tissues for use as control tissue
2. Rabbit Monoclonal Negative Control Ig (Cat. No. 790-4795 / 06683380001)

3. Microscope slides, positively charged
4. OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit (Cat. No. 760-700 / 06396500001)
5. OptiView Amplification Kit (Cat. No. 760-099 / 06396518001 (50 test) or 860-099 / 06718663001 (250 test))
6. EZ Prep Concentrate (10X) (Cat. No. 950-102 / 05279771001)
7. Reaction Buffer Concentrate (10X) (Cat. No. 950-300 / 05353955001)
8. LCS (Predilute) (Cat. No. 650-010 / 05264839001)
9. ULTRA LCS (Predilute) (Cat. No. 650-210 / 05424534001)
10. Cell Conditioning Solution (CC1) (Cat. No. 950-124 / 05279801001)
11. ULTRA Cell Conditioning Solution (ULTRA CC1) (Cat. No. 950-224 / 05424569001)
12. Hematoxylin II (Cat. No. 790-2208 / 05277965001)
13. Bluing Reagent (Cat. No. 760-2037 / 05266769001)
14. Permanent mounting medium
15. Cover glass
16. Automated coverslipper
17. General purpose laboratory equipment
18. BenchMark IHC/ISH instrument

### STORAGE AND STABILITY

Upon receipt and when not in use, store at 2-8°C. Do not freeze.

To ensure proper reagent delivery and the stability of the antibody, replace the dispenser cap after every use and immediately place the dispenser in the refrigerator in an upright position.

Every antibody dispenser is expiration dated. When properly stored, the reagent is stable to the date indicated on the label. Do not use reagent beyond the expiration date.

### SPECIMEN PREPARATION

Routinely processed, FFPE tissues are suitable for use with this primary antibody when used with VENTANA detection kits and BenchMark IHC/ISH instruments. Tissue fixation in 10% neutral buffered formalin (NBF) for at least 6 hours and for a maximum of 72 hours is recommended. Fixation times of less than 6 hours may result in a loss of staining for PD-L1. The amount of NBF used should be 15 to 20 times the volume of tissue. No fixative will penetrate more than 2 to 3 mm of solid tissue or 5 mm of porous tissue in a 24-hour period. Fixation can be performed at room temperature (15-25°C).<sup>11,12</sup>

Fixatives such as alcohol-formalin-acetic acid (AFA), PREFER fixative and other alcohol-containing fixatives have demonstrated a loss of specific staining for PD-L1 at all fixation times tested (1-72 hours) and are not recommended for use with this assay. See the interpretation guides for further discussion of the impact of specimen preparation on PD-L1 staining intensity.

Sections should be cut approximately 4 µm thick and mounted on positively-charged glass slides. Slides should be stained immediately, as antigenicity of cut tissue sections may diminish over time and may be compromised 3 months after cutting from the paraffin block for urothelial carcinoma specimens, and 2 months for NSCLC, TNBC, and tonsil specimens (see the interpretation guides and the Performance Characteristics section below).

### WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

1. For in vitro diagnostic (IVD) use.
2. For professional use only.
3. Do not use beyond the specified number of tests.
4. Positively charged slides may be susceptible to environmental stresses resulting in inappropriate staining. Ask your Roche representative for more information on how to use these types of slides.
5. Materials of human or animal origin should be handled as biohazardous materials and disposed of with proper precautions. In the event of exposure, the health directives of the responsible authorities should be followed.<sup>13,14</sup>
6. Avoid contact of reagents with eyes and mucous membranes. If reagents come in contact with sensitive areas, wash with copious amounts of water.
7. Avoid microbial contamination of reagents as it may cause incorrect results.
8. For further information on the use of this device, refer to the BenchMark IHC/ISH instrument User Guide, and instructions for use of all necessary components located at [dialog.roche.com](http://dialog.roche.com).

9. Consult local and/or state authorities with regard to recommended method of disposal.
10. Product safety labeling primarily follows EU GHS guidance. Safety data sheet available for professional user on request.
11. To report suspected serious incidents related to this device, contact the local Roche representative and the competent authority of the Member State or Country in which the user is established.

### STAINING PROCEDURE

VENTANA primary antibodies have been developed for use on BenchMark IHC/ISH instruments in combination with VENTANA detection kits and accessories. An assay-specific staining procedure must be used with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay. Refer to Table 3 for the recommended staining protocol and required staining procedures. Any deviation from recommended test procedures may invalidate expected results. Appropriate controls must be employed and documented. Users who deviate from recommended test procedures must accept responsibility for interpretation of patient results.

This antibody has been optimized for specific incubation times but the user must validate results obtained with this reagent.

The parameters for the automated procedures can be displayed, printed and edited according to the procedure in the instrument User Guide. Refer to the appropriate VENTANA detection kit method sheet for more details regarding immunohistochemistry staining procedures.

For more details on the proper use of this device, refer to the inline dispenser method sheet associated with P/N 741-4860.

**Table 3.** Recommended staining protocol and required staining procedures for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay and Rabbit Monoclonal Negative Control Ig with OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit and OptiView Amplification Kit on a BenchMark IHC/ISH instruments.

Staining Procedure:		ULTRA VENTANA PDL1 (SP142) XT VENTANA PDL1 (SP142) GX VENTANA PDL1 (SP142)
Protocol Step	Parameter Input	
Baking	Optional	
Antibody (Primary)	VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Selected or Negative Control Selected	
Counterstain	Hematoxylin II, 4 Minutes	
Post Counterstain	Bluing, 4 Minutes	

### QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

#### Rabbit Monoclonal Negative Control Ig

A matched negative reagent control slide must be run for every specimen to aid in the interpretation of results. Rabbit Monoclonal Negative Control Ig, a negative reagent control antibody, is specifically matched for this assay and is used in place of the primary antibody to evaluate nonspecific staining. The staining procedure for the negative reagent control should equal the primary antibody incubation period. Use of a different negative control reagent, or failure to use the recommended negative control reagent, may cause false results.

#### Tonsil Tissue Control

A tissue control must be included with each staining run. Qualified benign human tonsil tissue is to be used as the control. Control tissue should be fixed as soon as possible and processed in a manner identical to patient tissues. Such tissue may monitor all steps of the analysis, from tissue preparation through staining. Tonsil tissue contains positive and negative staining elements for the PD-L1 protein and is therefore suitable for use as a tissue control. The positive and negative staining tissue components are used to confirm that the assay functioned properly.

Appropriate staining of tonsil tissue components is described in Table 4 and in the interpretation guides.

### Assay Verification

Prior to initial use of an antibody or staining system in a diagnostic procedure, the specificity of the antibody should be verified by testing it on a series of tissues with known IHC performance characteristics representing PD-L1 positive and negative tissues (refer to the Quality Control Procedures previously outlined in this section of the product insert and to the Quality Control recommendations of the College of American Pathologists Laboratory Accreditation Program, Anatomic Pathology Checklist<sup>15</sup> or the CLSI Approved Guideline<sup>16</sup>). These quality control procedures should be repeated for each new antibody lot, or whenever there is a change in assay parameters. Urothelial carcinoma, NSCLC, and TNBC tissues with known PD-L1 status, and benign human tonsil samples, are suitable for assay verification.

### STAINING INTERPRETATION / EXPECTED RESULTS

The VENTANA automated immunostaining procedure causes a brown colored DAB reaction product to precipitate at the antigen sites localized by the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay antibody. The stained slide(s) are interpreted by a qualified pathologist using light microscopy. A qualified pathologist experienced in IHC procedures must evaluate tissue controls and qualify the stained product before interpreting results.

#### Tonsil Tissue Control Interpretation

The stained tonsil tissue control should be examined for appropriate staining. The presence of PD-L1 staining within the macrophages and lymphocytes in germinal centers and reticulated crypt epithelium of tonsil serve as positive tissue elements. Absence of staining in superficial squamous epithelium and negative immune cells in interfollicular regions of tonsil serve as negative tissue elements. Acceptability criteria are listed in Table 4. (Refer to the interpretation guides for further discussion).

If the tissue control fails to demonstrate appropriate staining, any results with the patient specimens should be considered unevaluable and repeat staining should be performed.

**Table 4.** Tonsil tissue control evaluation criteria.

Acceptable	Unacceptable
Positive tissue elements: Moderate to strong PD-L1 staining noted in lymphocytes and macrophages in germinal centers, with diffuse staining in reticulated crypt epithelial cells.	Excessive non-specific background staining obscuring the identification of PD-L1 positive cells.
Negative tissue elements: PD-L1 negative immune cells in the interfollicular regions with negative superficial squamous epithelium.	Weak to no PD-L1 staining noted in lymphocytes and macrophages in germinal centers, and reticulated crypt epithelial cells.

#### Negative Reagent Control

Non-specific staining, if present, will have a diffuse appearance and can be evaluated using the negative reagent control slide stained with Rabbit Monoclonal Negative Control Ig. Intact cells should be used for interpretation of staining results; as necrotic or degenerated cells often stain nonspecifically. If background staining is excessive, results from the test specimen should be considered invalid. Examples of background staining for this assay can be found in the interpretation guides.

#### Patient Tissue

Tumor cells (TC) are scored as the percentage of tumor cells with the presence of discernible PD-L1 membrane staining of any intensity. Tumor-infiltrating immune cells (IC) are scored as the proportion of tumor area, including associated intratumoral and contiguous peritumoral stroma, occupied by PD-L1 staining IC of any intensity. Patient tissue must be evaluated according to the indication-specific VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm provided in the Performance Characteristics section for that indication. Refer to the indication-specific interpretation guide for additional instructions and representative images.

### GENERAL LIMITATIONS

- IHC is a multiple step diagnostic process that requires specialized training in the selection of the appropriate reagents, tissue selection, fixation, processing, preparation of the immunohistochemistry slide, and interpretation of the staining results.

- Tissue staining is dependent on the handling and processing of the tissue prior to staining. Improper fixation, freezing, thawing, washing, drying, heating, sectioning, or contamination with other tissues or fluids may produce artifacts, antibody trapping, or false negative results. Inconsistent results may result from variations in fixation and embedding methods, or from inherent irregularities within the tissue.
- Excessive or incomplete counterstaining may compromise proper interpretation of results.
- The clinical interpretation of any positive staining, or its absence, must be evaluated within the context of clinical history, morphology, and other histopathological criteria. The clinical interpretation of any staining, or its absence, must be complemented by morphological studies and system-level controls as well as other diagnostic tests. It is the responsibility of a qualified pathologist to be familiar with the antibodies, reagents, and methods used to interpret the stained preparation. Staining must be performed in a certified licensed laboratory under the supervision of a pathologist who is responsible for reviewing the stained slides and assuring the adequacy of positive and negative controls.
- VENTANA antibodies and reagents are provided at optimal dilution for use when the provided instructions are followed. Any deviation from recommended test procedures may invalidate expected results. Appropriate controls must be employed and documented. Users who deviate from recommended test procedures must accept responsibility for interpretation of patient results.
- This product is not intended for use in flow cytometry; performance characteristics have not been determined.
- Reagents may demonstrate unexpected reactions in previously untested tissues. The possibility of unexpected reactions even in tested tissue groups cannot be completely eliminated because of biological variability of antigen expression in neoplasms, or other pathological tissues.<sup>17,18</sup>
- Tissues from persons infected with hepatitis B virus and containing hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) may exhibit nonspecific staining with horseradish peroxidase.<sup>19</sup>
- False positive results may be seen because of non-immunological binding of proteins or substrate reaction products. They may also be caused by pseudoperoxidase activity (erythrocytes), endogenous peroxidase activity (cytochrome C), or endogenous biotin (example: liver, brain, breast, kidney) depending on the type of immunostain used.<sup>20</sup>
- As with any immunohistochemistry test, a negative result means that the antigen was not detected, not that the antigen was absent in the cells or tissue assayed.

### SPECIFIC LIMITATIONS

- VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay has been solely approved on BenchMark IHC/ISH instruments with the OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit and the OptiView Amplification Kit and is not approved with any other detection or instruments.
- A patient specimen slide should be stained with Rabbit Monoclonal Negative Control Ig. Other negative control reagents are not suitable for this assay.
- This assay has not been validated for use with cytology samples or decalcified bone specimens.
- Patient tissue should be stained within 2 months of sectioning from the tissue block for NSCLC, TNBC, and tonsil tissues and within 3 months for urothelial carcinoma tissues. Loss of staining performance has been observed with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of tissue sections that have been stored at room temperature for longer than these times.
- It is recommended that samples be fixed between 6 and 72 hours in 10% NBF. Use of fixation times or fixative types other than those recommended can lead to false negative results. Fixatives such as AFA, PREFER fixative, and other alcohol-containing fixatives have demonstrated a loss of specific PD-L1 protein staining. Refer to the interpretation guides for further discussion.
- Artifacts such as DAB spots, Blank spots, DAB dots, and/or Speckling may require repeat staining if they interfere with the interpretation of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay. Always compare the PD-L1 stained slide to the negative reagent control to ensure that background is acceptable. Refer to the interpretation guides for further discussion.
- Occasional DAB dots have been observed in benign human tonsil control, cerebellum and testicular tissues and focal nuclear staining has been observed in normal pancreatic (acinar cells) and hypophyseal tissue (Table 5); however, nuclear staining is not included in scoring of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining.

8. All assays might not be registered on every instrument. Please contact your local Roche representative for more information.

**PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS**

**ANALYTICAL PERFORMANCE - GENERAL**

Staining tests for sensitivity, specificity, impact of tissue thickness, repeatability, and intermediate precision, as well as tests for reader precision, inter-laboratory reproducibility, and clinical outcome were conducted and the results are listed below.

**General Analysis Comments**

Unless otherwise noted, the two-sided 95% confidence interval (CI) around estimates of agreement for all studies (excluding clinical efficacy studies) were calculated using the percentile bootstrap method from 2,000 bootstrap samples. If the point estimate of Positive Percent Agreement (PPA), Negative Percent Agreement (NPA), or Overall Percent Agreement (OPA) is 0% or 100%, then Wilson score method was used to calculate 95% CI. If the point estimate of Average Positive Agreement (APA) and Average Negative Agreement (ANA) is 0% or 100% for pairwise comparison, then transformation Wilson score method was used to calculate 95% CI.

**Sensitivity and Specificity**

Arrays containing a variety of normal and neoplastic tissues were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay and evaluated for the presence of immune cell staining (any immune cell staining, of any intensity) as described in Table 5 and Table 6.

**Table 5.** Sensitivity/Specificity of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay was determined by testing FFPE normal tissues.

Tissue	# positive <sup>a</sup> / total cases	Tissue	# positive <sup>a</sup> / total cases
Cerebrum	0/3	Thymus	3/3
Cerebellum <sup>c</sup>	0/3	Bone marrow	0/2
Adrenal gland	1/3	Lung	1/25
Ovary	0/3	Heart	0/3
Pancreas <sup>d</sup>	0/3	Esophagus	0/3
Parathyroid gland	0/2	Stomach	0/3
Pituitary gland <sup>d</sup>	0/3	Small intestine	1/3
Testis <sup>c</sup>	0/3	Colon	2/3
Thyroid	1/3	Liver	0/3
Breast	1/66	Salivary gland	2/4
Spleen	3/3	Kidney	2/3
Tonsil <sup>c</sup>	3/3	Bladder <sup>b</sup>	3/36
Lymph node	3/3	Prostate	0/3
Endometrium	2/3	Cervix	0/2
Skeletal muscle	0/2	Skin	0/3
Nerve	0/3	Mesothelium	0/3

<sup>a</sup> Immune cell staining of any intensity      <sup>b</sup> Focal immune cell staining

<sup>c</sup> Focal DAB dots were observed in 1/3 cerebellum, 1/3 testis tissues and normal tonsil control

<sup>d</sup> Nuclear staining was observed in 1/3 pancreas and 1/3 pituitary gland tissues

**Table 6.** Sensitivity/Specificity of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay was determined by testing a variety of FFPE neoplastic tissues.

Pathology	# positive <sup>a</sup> / total cases	
	Immune cells	Tumor cells
Glioblastoma (Cerebrum)	1/1	0/1
Meningioma (Cerebrum)	0/1	0/1
Ependymoma (Cerebrum)	0/1	0/1
Oligodendroglioma (Cerebrum)	0/1	0/1
Serous adenocarcinoma (Ovary)	1/1	0/1
Adenocarcinoma (Ovary)	1/1	0/1
Neuroendocrine neoplasm (Pancreas)	0/1	0/1
Adenocarcinoma (Pancreas)	1/1	0/1
Seminoma (Testis)	1/1	0/1
Embryonal carcinoma (Testis)	0/1	0/1
Medullary carcinoma (Thyroid)	0/1	0/1
Papillary carcinoma (Thyroid)	0/1	1/1
Microinvasive ductal carcinoma (Breast)	1/1	0/1
Invasive ductal carcinoma (Breast)	1/2	0/2
B-Cell Lymphoma; NOS (Spleen) <sup>a</sup>	1/1	1/1
Small cell carcinoma (Lung)	1/1	1/1
Squamous cell carcinoma (Lung)	1/1	0/1
Adenocarcinoma (Lung)	0/1	0/1
Neuroendocrine carcinoma (Esophagus)	0/1	0/1
Adenocarcinoma (Esophagus)	1/1	0/1
Signet-ring cell carcinoma (Stomach)	1/1	0/1
Adenocarcinoma (Small intestine)	1/1	0/1
Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) (Small intestine)	1/1	0/1
Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) (Colon)	0/1	0/1
Adenocarcinoma (Colon)	1/1	0/1
Adenocarcinoma (Rectum)	1/1	1/1
Gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) (Rectum)	0/1	0/1
Melanoma (Rectum)	1/1	0/1
Hepatocellular carcinoma (Liver)	0/1	0/1
Hepatoblastoma (Liver)	1/1	0/1
Clear cell carcinoma (Kidney)	1/1	0/1
Adenocarcinoma (Prostate)	0/2	0/2
Leiomyoma (Uterus)	0/1	0/1
Adenocarcinoma (Uterus)	1/1	0/1

Pathology	# positive <sup>a</sup> / total cases	
	Immune cells	Tumor cells
Clear cell carcinoma (Uterus)	1/1	1/1
Squamous cell carcinoma (Cervix)	2/2	0/2
Embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma (Striated muscle)	0/1	0/1
Basal cell carcinoma (Skin)	1/1	0/1
Squamous cell carcinoma (Skin)	1/1	0/1
Neurofibroma (Lumbar)	1/1	0/1
Neuroblastoma (Retroperitoneum)	1/1	0/1
Spindle cell rhabdomyosarcoma (Retroperitoneum)	0/1	0/1
Mesothelioma (Peritoneum)	1/1	0/1
B-Cell Lymphoma (Lymph node) <sup>b</sup>	1/1	1/1
Hodgkin lymphoma (Lymph node)	1/1	1/1
B-cell lymphoma; NOS (Mediastinum) <sup>b</sup>	1/1	1/1
Anaplastic large cell lymphoma (Lymph node) <sup>b</sup>	1/1	1/1
Urothelial carcinoma (Bladder)	1/1	0/1
Leiomyosarcoma (Bladder)	0/1	0/1
Osteosarcoma (Bone)	0/1	0/1
Leiomyosarcoma (Smooth muscle)	1/1	0/1

<sup>a</sup> Immune cell or tumor cell staining of any intensity

<sup>b</sup> Tumor cell and immune cell staining could not be differentiated

## PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS

### ANALYTICAL PERFORMANCE – UROTHELIAL CARCINOMA

#### Scoring Algorithm – Urothelial Carcinoma

Urothelial carcinoma tissue must be evaluated according to the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for urothelial carcinoma provided in Table 7. Refer to the interpretation guide (P/N 1015704) for additional instructions and representative images.

**Table 7.** VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for urothelial carcinoma.

Immune Cell (IC) Staining Assessment <sup>a</sup>	PD-L1 Expression
Absence of any discernible PD-L1 staining OR Presence of discernible PD-L1 staining of any intensity in tumor-infiltrating immune cells covering < 5% of tumor area occupied by tumor cells, associated intratumoral, and contiguous peritumoral stroma	< 5% IC
Presence of discernible PD-L1 staining of any intensity in tumor-infiltrating immune cells covering ≥ 5% of tumor area occupied by tumor cells, associated intratumoral, and contiguous peritumoral stroma	≥ 5% IC

<sup>a</sup> PD-L1 staining in tumor cells should not be included in the scoring determination of urothelial carcinoma patient tissue.

#### Tissue Thickness – Urothelial Carcinoma

Tissue thickness was evaluated using 5 unique urothelial carcinoma specimens (3 PD-L1 ≥ 5% IC and 2 PD-L1 < 5% IC). Duplicate sections at 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 microns

were tested for each case. All tissue thicknesses demonstrated appropriate specific staining for PD-L1 and acceptable background levels for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining. No sections exhibited a change in PD-L1 expression within the range of thickness tested. The specimens should be cut at 4 μm for staining with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay.

#### Repeatability and Intermediate Precision – Urothelial Carcinoma

Studies for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of urothelial carcinoma specimens were completed to demonstrate:

- Intra-day repeatability – 5 replicate slides each from 24 unique urothelial carcinoma specimens (12 PD-L1 ≥ 5% IC and 12 PD-L1 < 5% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay on a single BenchMark ULTRA instrument within one day.
- Inter-day precision – 10 slides from 24 unique urothelial carcinoma specimens (12 PD-L1 ≥ 5% IC and 12 PD-L1 < 5% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay on a single BenchMark ULTRA instrument across 5 non-consecutive days.
- Inter-instrument and inter-lot precision – 27 slides each from 24 unique urothelial carcinoma specimens (12 PD-L1 ≥ 5% IC and 12 PD-L1 < 5% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay using three lots of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) antibody and three paired lots of OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit and OptiView Amplification Kit, on three BenchMark ULTRA instruments.
- Intra-platform precision – 2 replicate slides each from 10 unique urothelial carcinoma specimens (3 PD-L1 ≥ 5% IC and 7 PD-L1 < 5% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay across three BenchMark ULTRA, three BenchMark XT and three BenchMark GX instruments. Agreement rates were calculated relative to the specimen mode for one platform.

All slides were blinded and randomized, and then evaluated using the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for urothelial carcinoma (Table 7). Results are summarized in Table 8.

**Table 8.** Repeatability and intermediate precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of urothelial carcinoma specimens.

Repeatability/Intermediate Precision Parameter	Agreement % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
Intra-day repeatability (within a single day)	PPA: 98.2 (90.4-99.7) NPA: 100.0 (94.4-100.0) OPA: 99.2 (95.4-99.9)
Inter-day precision (5 non-consecutive days)	PPA: 91.8 (85.2-95.6) NPA: 100.0 (97.1-100.0) OPA: 96.3 (93.0-98.0)
Inter-instrument and Inter-lot precision (3 instruments, 3 antibody lots, and 3 detection and amplification kit lots)	PPA: 99.4 (97.8-99.8) NPA: 99.7 (98.3-99.9) OPA: 99.5 (98.6-99.8)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark ULTRA instruments)	PPA: 83.3 (60.8-94.2) NPA: 100.0 (91.2-100.0) OPA: 94.8 (85.9-98.2)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark XT instruments)	PPA: 94.4 (74.2-99.0) NPA: 100.0 (91.6-100.0) OPA: 98.3 (91.1-99.7)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark GX instruments)	PPA: 94.4 (74.2-99.0) NPA: 100.0 (91.4-100.0) OPA: 98.3 (91.0-99.7)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

#### Inter-Platform Concordance – Urothelial Carcinoma

Single slides each from 44 unique urothelial carcinoma specimens (22 PD-L1 ≥ 5% IC and 22 PD-L1 < 5% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay on one BenchMark ULTRA (reference), one BenchMark XT and one BenchMark GX instrument. All slides were blinded and randomized, and then evaluated using the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for urothelial carcinoma (Table 7). Results are summarized in Table 9.

**Table 9.** Inter-platform concordance of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of urothelial carcinoma specimens.

Inter-platform Concordance	Agreement % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
BenchMark ULTRA: BenchMark XT	PPA: 100.0 (84.5-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (85.1-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (91.8-100.0)
BenchMark ULTRA: BenchMark GX	PPA: 95.2 (77.3-99.2) NPA: 100.0 (85.1-100.0) OPA: 97.7 (87.9-99.6)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

### Reader Precision – Urothelial Carcinoma

To assess inter- and intra-reader precision, three pathologists evaluated 60 unique urothelial carcinoma specimens (30 PD-L1 ≥ 5% IC and 30 PD-L1 < 5% IC) that were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay. Specimens were blinded and randomized prior to evaluation for PD-L1 status using the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for urothelial carcinoma (Table 7). Readers scored all specimens twice, with a minimum of two weeks between reads. The agreement rates between the readers and between each pathologist's reads are summarized in Table 10.

**Table 10.** Inter- and intra-reader precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of urothelial carcinoma specimens.

Reader Precision	Agreement % (95% CI)
Inter-reader precision (average of reader-to-reader pairwise comparisons from first read)	APA: 92.7 (85.9-97.6) ANA: 93.9 (88.1-98.1) OPA: 93.3 (87.8-97.8)
Intra-reader precision (average of all three readers' agreement rates between first and second reads)	APA: 93.4 (87.3-97.7) ANA: 94.2 (88.9-98.1) OPA: 93.9 (88.8-97.8)

### Inter-Laboratory Reproducibility Study – Urothelial Carcinoma

An inter-laboratory reproducibility Study for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay was conducted to demonstrate reproducibility of the assay in determining PD-L1 status in urothelial carcinoma tissue specimens. Twenty-eight unique urothelial carcinoma specimens (14 PD-L1 ≥ 5% IC and 14 PD-L1 < 5% IC) were stained at 3 external laboratories on each of 5 non-consecutive days over a period of at least 20 days. Prior to staining, slides were blinded and randomized. At each site, the stained slides were independently evaluated by 2 pathologists (readers). Results are summarized in Table 11.

**Table 11.** Inter-laboratory reproducibility of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of urothelial carcinoma specimens.

Inter-laboratory Reproducibility	Agreement % (95% CI)
Overall agreement (compared to a consensus score, across sites, days and readers)	PPA: 98.3 (96.6-99.2) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 87.4 (83.8-90.2) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 92.8 (90.9-94.4) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-site agreement (average of site-to-site pairwise comparisons)	APA: 90.7 (81.2-96.3) ANA: 88.3 (78.5-94.9) OPA: 89.6 (82.5-95.5)
Inter-reader agreement (average of reader-to-reader pairwise comparisons within each site)	APA: 89.3 (78.1-96.0) ANA: 86.6 (75.1-94.6) OPA: 88.1 (84.6-90.8) <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

### CLINICAL PERFORMANCE – UROTHELIAL CARCINOMA

The performance of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay was investigated in IMvigor210 (NCT02108652), a phase II, multicenter, open-label, two-cohort trial designed to evaluate the efficacy of TECENTRIQ in patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma.

Patient specimens were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay and evaluated for staining acceptability and for PD-L1 expression per the scoring algorithm specified in Table 7. Patient specimens were FFPE urothelial carcinoma tissue from biopsies (21.2%), resections (46.6%), transurethral resection of bladder tumor (TURBT, 30.5%), or of unknown type (1.6%); 74.9% were from primary tumors and 25.1% from metastatic tumors.

Table 12 describes the overall staining acceptability rate for the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay among all urothelial carcinoma specimens screened for IMvigor210. The rates of acceptable morphology and acceptable background for PD-L1 stained slides are also reported. Out of a total of 650 specimens, 25 failed the initial staining attempt and staining was repeated. On the final staining attempt, 13 of the 25 samples remained unacceptable (7 due to unacceptable negative reagent control, 5 due to unacceptable morphology, and 1 for both unacceptable background and morphology). VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay demonstrated high initial and final overall staining acceptability rates; 96.2% and 98.0%, respectively. Final morphology and background acceptability rates were greater than 99%.

**Table 12.** VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining performance characteristics for urothelial carcinoma clinical study specimens of IMvigor210.

Attribute	Acceptability rate % (n/N) (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	
	Initial <sup>b</sup>	Final <sup>c</sup>
Overall staining acceptability rate	96.2 (625/650) (94.4-97.4)	98.0 (637/650) (96.6-98.8)
Morphology	98.1 (628/640) (96.8-98.9)	99.1 (637/643) (98.0-99.6)
Background	98.9 (625/632) (97.7-99.5)	99.8 (637/638) (99.1-100.0)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

<sup>b</sup> Initial staining attempt    <sup>c</sup> Final staining attempt

In Cohort 2 of IMvigor210, 310 patients with locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma who had disease progression during or following a platinum-containing chemotherapy regimen or who had disease progression within 12 months of treatment with a platinum-containing neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy regimen were treated with TECENTRIQ. This study excluded patients who had: a history of autoimmune disease, active or corticosteroid-dependent brain metastases, administration of a live, attenuated vaccine within 28 days prior to enrollment, or administration of systemic immunostimulatory agents or systemic immunosuppressive medications. Patients received an intravenous infusion of 1200 mg of TECENTRIQ every 3 weeks until loss of clinical benefit as assessed by the investigator. Tumor response assessments were conducted every 9 weeks for the first 54 weeks and every 12 weeks thereafter. Major efficacy outcome measures included confirmed objective response rate (ORR) as assessed by independent review facility (IRF) using Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST v1.1) and duration of response (DOR).

In this cohort, the median age was 66 years, 78% were male, 91% patients were Caucasian. Twenty-six percent had non-bladder urothelial carcinoma and 78% of patients had visceral metastases. Sixty-two percent of patients had an ECOG score of 1 and 35% of patients had a baseline creatinine clearance of < 60 mL/min. Nineteen percent of patients had disease progression following prior platinum-containing neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy. Forty-one percent of patients had received ≥ 2 prior systemic regimens in the metastatic setting. Seventy-three percent of patients received prior cisplatin, 26% had prior carboplatin, and 1% were treated with other platinum-based regimens.

Tumor specimens were evaluated prospectively using VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay at a central laboratory and the results were used to define subgroups for pre-specified analyses. Of the 310 patients, 32% were classified as having PD-L1 expression of

≥ 5% IC; the remaining 68% of patients were classified as having PD-L1 expression of < 5% IC.

Confirmed ORR in all patients and the two PD-L1 subgroups are summarized in Table 13. The median follow-up time for this cohort was 14.4 months. In 59 patients with disease progression following neoadjuvant or adjuvant therapy, the ORR was 22.0% (95% CI: 12.3%, 34.7%).

**Table 13.** Summary of efficacy from Cohort 2 of IMvigor210 (urothelial carcinoma).

	All Patients (N = 310)	PD-L1 Expression Subgroups	
		< 5% <sup>a</sup> (N = 210)	≥ 5% <sup>a</sup> (N = 100)
<b>Number of IRF-assessed Confirmed Responders</b>	46	20	26
<b>ORR % (95% CI)</b>	14.8 (11.1, 19.3)	9.5 (5.9, 14.3)	26.0 (17.7, 35.7)
<b>Complete Response (CR) (%)</b>	5.5	2.4	12.0
<b>Partial Response (PR) (%)</b>	9.4	7.1	14.0
<b>Median DOR, months (range)</b>	NR (2.1+, 13.8+)	12.7 (2.1+, 12.7)	NR (4.2, 13.8+)

NR = Not reached + Denotes a censored value CI = Confidence Interval  
 IRF = independent review facility  
 ORR = objective response rate DOR = duration of response  
<sup>a</sup> PD-L1 expression in tumor-infiltrating immune cells (IC)

IMvigor130 (NCT02807636) is an ongoing multicenter, randomized study in previously untreated patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma who are eligible for platinum-containing chemotherapy. The study contains three arms: TECENTRIQ monotherapy, TECENTRIQ with platinum-based chemotherapy (i.e., cisplatin or carboplatin with gemcitabine), and platinum-based chemotherapy alone (comparator). Both cisplatin-eligible and cisplatin-ineligible patients are included in the study. Tumor specimens were evaluated prospectively using the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay at a central laboratory. The independent Data Monitoring Committee (iDMC) for the study conducted a review of early data and found that patients classified as having PD-L1 expression of < 5% when treated with TECENTRIQ monotherapy had decreased survival compared to those who received platinum-based chemotherapy. The iDMC recommended closure of the monotherapy arm to further accrual of patients with low PD-L1 expression; however, no other changes were recommended for the study, including any change of therapy for patients who had already been randomized to and were receiving treatment in the monotherapy arm.

## ANALYTICAL PERFORMANCE – NSCLC

### Scoring Algorithm – NSCLC

NSCLC tissue must be evaluated according to the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for NSCLC provided in Table 14 and Table 15. The specimen should be considered to have PD-L1 expression if the specimen exhibits ≥ 1% TC or ≥ 1% IC and high PD-L1 expression if the specimen exhibits ≥ 50% TC or ≥ 10% IC. Refer to the interpretation guide (P/N 1015703 and 1015654) for additional instructions and representative images.

**Table 14.** VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for NSCLC ≥ 50% TC or ≥ 10% IC).

STEP 1	Tumor Cell (TC) Staining Assessment	PD-L1 Expression
	Presence of discernible PD-L1 membrane staining of any intensity in ≥ 50% of tumor cells	≥ 50% TC
	Absence of any discernible PD-L1 staining OR Presence of discernible PD-L1 membrane staining of any intensity in < 50% of tumor cells	Proceed to Step 2
STEP 2	Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cell (IC) Staining Assessment	PD-L1 Expression
	Presence of discernible PD-L1 staining of any intensity in tumor-infiltrating immune cells covering ≥ 10% of tumor area occupied by tumor cells, associated intratumoral, and contiguous peritumoral stroma	≥ 10% IC
	Absence of any discernible PD-L1 staining OR Presence of discernible PD-L1 staining of any intensity in tumor-infiltrating immune cells covering < 10% of tumor area occupied by tumor cells, associated intratumoral, and contiguous peritumoral stroma	< 50% TC and < 10% IC

**Table 15.** VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) scoring algorithm for NSCLC ≥ 1% TC or ≥ 1% IC.

STEP 1	Tumor Cell (TC) Staining Assessment	PD-L1 Expression
	Presence of discernible PD-L1 membrane staining of any intensity in ≥ 1% of tumor cells	≥ 1% TC
	Absence of any discernible PD-L1 staining OR Presence of discernible PD-L1 membrane staining of any intensity in < 1% of tumor cells	Proceed to Step 2
STEP 2	Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cell (IC) Staining Assessment	PD-L1 Expression
	Presence of discernible PD-L1 staining of any intensity in tumor-infiltrating immune cells covering ≥ 1% of tumor area occupied by tumor cells, associated intratumoral, and contiguous peritumoral stroma	≥ 1% IC
	Absence of any discernible PD-L1 staining OR Presence of discernible PD-L1 staining of any intensity in tumor-infiltrating immune cells covering < 1% of tumor area occupied by tumor cells, associated intratumoral, and contiguous peritumoral stroma	< 1% TC and < 1% IC

### Tissue Thickness - NSCLC

Tissue thickness was evaluated using NSCLC specimens. Duplicate sections at 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 μm were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay and evaluated for PD-L1 TC and IC expression. A total of 42 NSCLC specimens with a range of PD-L1 expression for each IC and TC level were evaluated.

All tissue thicknesses demonstrated appropriate specific staining for PD-L1 and acceptable background levels for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining. No sections exhibited a change in PD-L1 TC or IC level within the range of thickness tested. The NSCLC specimens should be cut at 4 μm for staining with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay.

### Repeatability and Intermediate Precision - NSCLC

Studies for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens were completed to demonstrate:

- Intra-day repeatability – 5 replicate slides from each NSCLC specimen were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay on a single BenchMark ULTRA instrument in a single day and evaluated for PD-L1 TC and IC expression. A total of 66 NSCLC specimens with a range of PD-L1 expression for each TC and IC level were evaluated.
- Inter-day precision – 10 slides from each NSCLC specimen were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay on a single BenchMark ULTRA instrument across 5 non-consecutive days. A total of 66 NSCLC specimens with a range of PD-L1 expression for each TC and IC expression level were evaluated.
- Instrument, antibody and detection lot precision – a minimum of 9 slides from each NSCLC specimen were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay using three lots of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) antibody and three paired lots of OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit and OptiView Amplification Kit, on three BenchMark ULTRA instruments. A total of 92 NSCLC specimens with a range of PD-L1 expression for each TC and IC were evaluated.
- Intra-platform precision – 2 replicate slides from each NSCLC specimen were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay across three BenchMark ULTRA, three BenchMark XT and three BenchMark GX instruments. A total of 38 NSCLC specimens with a range of PD-L1 expression for each TC and IC expression level were evaluated. Agreement rates were calculated relative to the specimen mode for each platform.

All slides were blinded and randomized and then evaluated for PD-L1 TC or IC expression level. Results are summarized in Table 16, Table 17, Table 18 and Table 19.

**Table 16.** Repeatability and intermediate precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  50% TC).

Repeatability / Intermediate Precision Parameter	Agreement % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
Intra-day repeatability (within a single day), 24 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (94.4-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (93.5-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (96.9-100.0)
Inter-day precision (5 non-consecutive days), 24 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (97.1-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (96.5-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (98.4-100.0)
Inter-instrument and Inter-lot precision (compared to case-level mode, across instruments and lots), 18 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 99.7 (98.1-99.9) NPA: 95.2 (91.2-97.5) OPA: 97.9 (96.2-98.9)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark ULTRA instruments), 10 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (88.6-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (88.6-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark XT instruments), 10 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 96.7 (83.3-99.4) NPA: 100.0 (88.6-100.0) OPA: 98.3 (91.1-99.7)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark GX instruments), 10 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (88.6-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (88.6-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

**Table 17.** Repeatability and intermediate precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  10% IC).

Repeatability / Intermediate Precision Parameter	Agreement % (95% CI)
Intra-day repeatability (within a single day), 24 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 98.3 (91.1-99.7) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 99.2 (95.4-99.9) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-day precision (5 non-consecutive days), 24 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 96.2 (91.3-98.3) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 98.2 (93.6-99.5) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 97.1 (94.1-98.6) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-antibody and Inter-detection agreement (pairwise-comparison), 28 NSCLC specimens	APA: 95.1 (91.1-98.1) ANA: 90.2 (82.3-96.2) OPA: 93.4 (88.7-97.5)
Inter-instrument and Inter-detection lots agreement (pairwise-comparison), 28 NSCLC specimens	APA: 96.3 (93.2-98.8) ANA: 92.7 (86.0-97.7) OPA: 95.1 (91.2-98.4)
Inter-instrument and Inter-antibody agreement (pairwise-comparison), 28 NSCLC specimens	APA: 96.3 (93.1-98.8) ANA: 92.6 (85.9-97.8) OPA: 95.1 (91.1-98.4)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark ULTRA instruments), 8 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 100.0 (96.9-100.0) <sup>a</sup>
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark XT instruments), 8 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 100.0 (96.9-100.0) <sup>a</sup>
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark GX instruments), 8 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 100.0 (96.9-100.0) <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Two -sided Wilson score method CI

**Table 18.** Repeatability and intermediate precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% TC).

Repeatability / Intermediate Precision Parameter	Agreement % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
Intra-day repeatability (within a single day), 10 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (86.7-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (86.7-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (92.9-100.0)
Inter-day precision (5 non-consecutive days), 10 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (92.9- 100.0) NPA: 100.0 (92.9- 100.0) OPA: 100.0 (96.3- 100.0)
Inter-instrument and Inter-lot precision (compared to case-level mode, across instruments and lots), 18 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 99.2 (97.0-99.8) NPA: 100.0 (98.4-100.0) OPA: 99.6 (98.5-99.9)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark ULTRA instruments), 12 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (86.2-100.0) NPA: 95.8 (86.0-98.8) OPA: 97.2 (90.4-99.2)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark XT instruments), 12 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (86.2-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (92.6-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0)

Repeatability / Intermediate Precision Parameter	Agreement % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark GX instruments), 12 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (86.2-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (92.6-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

**Table 19.** Repeatability and intermediate precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% IC).

Repeatability / Intermediate Precision Parameter	Agreement % (95% CI)
Intra-day repeatability (within a single day), 8 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (91.2-100.0) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 100.0 (91.2-100.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 100.0 (95.4-100.0) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-day precision (5 non-consecutive days), 8 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (96.3-100.0) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 97.0 (91.6-99.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 98.5 (95.7-99.5) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-antibody and Inter-detection agreement (pairwise-comparison), 28 NSCLC specimens	APA: 98.4 (95.7-100.0) ANA: 98.3 (95.6-100.0) OPA: 98.4 (95.9-100.0)
Inter-instrument and Inter-detection agreement (pairwise-comparison), 28 NSCLC specimens	APA: 98.4 (95.8-100.0) ANA: 98.3 (95.4-100.0) OPA: 98.4 (95.8-100.0)
Inter-instrument and Inter-antibody agreement (pairwise-comparison), 28 NSCLC specimens	APA: 98.4 (95.9-100.0) ANA: 98.3 (95.5-100.0) OPA: 98.4 (95.8-100.0)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark ULTRA instruments), 8 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 100.0 (96.9-100.0) <sup>a</sup>
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark XT instruments), 8 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 100.0 (96.9-100.0) <sup>a</sup>
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark GX instruments), 8 NSCLC specimens	PPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 100.0 (96.9-100.0) <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

### Inter-Platform Concordance – NSCLC

Single slides each from 44 NSCLC specimens for PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC (21 PD-L1  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC, and 23 PD-L1  $<$  50% TC and  $<$  10% IC) and 44 NSCLC specimens for PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% TC or  $\geq$  1% IC (23 PD-L1  $\geq$  1% TC or  $\geq$  1% IC, and 21 PD-L1  $<$  1% TC and  $<$  1% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay on one BenchMark ULTRA (reference), one BenchMark XT and one BenchMark GX instrument.

All slides were blinded and randomized and then evaluated with the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for NSCLC (Table 14 for NSCLC  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC and Table 15 for NSCLC  $\geq$  1% TC or  $\geq$  1% IC). Results are summarized in Table 20 and Table 21.

**Table 20.** Inter-platform concordance of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC).

Inter-platform Concordance	Agreement % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
BenchMark ULTRA: BenchMark XT	PPA: 95.2 (77.3-99.2) NPA: 100.0 (85.7-100.0) OPA: 97.7 (88.2-99.6)
BenchMark ULTRA: BenchMark GX	PPA: 95.2 (77.3-99.2) NPA: 100.0 (85.1-100.0) OPA: 97.7 (87.9-99.6)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

**Table 21.** Inter-platform concordance of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% TC or  $\geq$  1% IC).

Inter-platform Concordance	Agreement % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
BenchMark ULTRA: BenchMark XT	PPA: 100.0 (85.7-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (84.5-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (92.0-100.0)
BenchMark ULTRA: BenchMark GX	PPA: 100.0 (85.7-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (84.5-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (92.0-100.0)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

### Reader Precision Study – NSCLC

To assess inter- and intra-reader precision, three pathologists evaluated 80 unique NSCLC cases, with a range of PD-L1 expression, that were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay. Specimens were blinded and randomized prior to evaluation for PD-L1 status using the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for NSCLC (Table 14 for NSCLC  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC and Table 15 for NSCLC  $\geq$  1% TC or  $\geq$  1% IC). Readers scored all specimens twice, with a minimum of two weeks between reads. The agreement rates between the readers and between each pathologist's reads are summarized in Table 22 and Table 23.

**Table 22.** Reader precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC).

Reader Precision	Agreement % (95% CI)
Inter-reader precision (average of reader-to-reader pairwise comparisons from first read)	APA: 88.8 (82.0-94.1) ANA: 89.0 (82.2-94.4) OPA: 88.9 (82.8-94.1)
Intra-reader precision (average of all three readers' agreement rates between first and second reads)	APA: 93.7 (89.9-96.6) ANA: 93.6 (89.8-96.7) OPA: 93.6 (90.3-96.6)

**Table 23.** Reader precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% TC or  $\geq$  1% IC).

Reader Precision	Agreement % (95% CI)
Inter-reader precision (average of reader-to-reader pairwise comparisons from first read)	APA: 93.7 (88.8- 97.3) ANA: 90.7 (83.3- 96.2) OPA: 92.5 (87.5-96.7)
Intra-reader precision (average of all three readers' agreement rates between first and second reads)	APA: 95.4 (92.0-98.1) ANA: 93.4 (88.3-97.2) OPA: 94.6 (90.8- 97.5)

### Inter-Laboratory Reproducibility Study – NSCLC

An inter-laboratory reproducibility Study for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining was conducted to demonstrate reproducibility of the assay in determining PD-L1 status in NSCLC tissue specimens. Twenty-eight unique NSCLC specimens with a range of PD-L1 expression were stained at 3 external laboratories on each of 5 non-consecutive days over a period of at least 20 days. Prior to staining, slides were blinded and randomized. At each site, the stained slides were independently evaluated by 2 pathologists (readers) using the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for NSCLC (Table 14 for NSCLC  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC and Table 15 for NSCLC  $\geq$  1% TC or  $\geq$  1% IC). Results are summarized in Table 24 and Table 25.

**Table 24.** Inter-laboratory reproducibility of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC).

Inter-laboratory Reproducibility	Agreement % (95% CI)
Overall agreement (compared to a consensus score, across sites, days and readers)	PPA: 86.6 (83.0-89.5) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 99.8 (98.7-100.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 93.2 (91.3-94.7) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-site agreement (average of site-to-site pairwise comparisons)	APA: 89.5 (80.9-95.5) ANA: 92.1 (84.4-97.1) OPA: 91.0 (90.3-91.6) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-reader agreement (average of reader-to-reader pairwise comparisons within each site)	APA: 93.9 (89.3-97.4) ANA: 95.4 (90.6-98.2) OPA: 94.7 (92.2-96.5) <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

**Table 25.** Inter-laboratory reproducibility of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of NSCLC specimens (PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% TC or  $\geq$  1% IC).

Inter-laboratory Reproducibility	Agreement % (95% CI)
Overall agreement (compared to a consensus score, across sites, days and readers)	PPA: 97.1 (94.9- 98.3) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 89.9 (86.6- 92.5) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 93.5 (91.6-95.0) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-site agreement (average of site-to-site pairwise comparisons)	APA: 88.4 (78.9- 94.1) ANA: 86.6 (79.6-92.2) OPA: 87.6 (86.8- 88.3) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-reader agreement (average of reader-to-reader pairwise comparisons within each site)	APA: 89.2 (80.8- 94.3) ANA: 87.5 (81.3- 92.5) OPA: 88.4 (84.9- 91.2) <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

### CLINICAL PERFORMANCE– NSCLC $\geq$ 50% TC OR $\geq$ 10% IC

The performance of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay was investigated in IMpower110 (NCT02409342), a phase III, multicenter, international, randomized, open-label trial in 572

patients with stage IV NSCLC, including those with EGFR or ALK genomic tumor aberrations, who had received no prior chemotherapy for metastatic disease. The study was designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of TECENTRIQ relative to chemotherapy consisting of a platinum agent (cisplatin or carboplatin per investigator discretion) in combination with either pemetrexed (non-squamous disease) or gemcitabine (squamous disease).

Patient specimens were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay and evaluated for staining acceptability and for PD-L1 expression. Patient specimens were FFPE NSCLC tissue from biopsies (66.0%), resections (15.7%), or of other type (18.3%); 72.4% were from primary tumors and 27.6% from metastatic tumors.

Table 26 describes the overall staining acceptability rate for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay among all NSCLC subjects screened for the study. The rates of acceptable morphology and acceptable background for PD-L1 stained slides are also reported. Out of a total of 2909 subjects, specimens for 65 subjects failed the initial staining attempt. When staining was repeated, results for the 15 of the 65 subjects remained unacceptable (14 due to unacceptable negative reagent control and 1 due to unacceptable morphology). VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay demonstrated high initial (i.e., first-pass) and final overall staining acceptability rates: 97.8% and 99.5%, respectively. The initial and final acceptability rates for background staining and morphology were greater than 99%.

**Table 26.** VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay NSCLC staining performance characteristics in IMpower110.

Attribute	Acceptability rate % (n/N) (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	
	Initial <sup>b</sup>	Final <sup>c</sup>
Overall staining acceptability rate	97.8 (2844/2909) (97.2-98.2)	99.5 (2894/2909) (99.2-99.7)
Morphology	99.4 (2844/2860) (99.1-99.7)	100.0 (2894/2895) (99.8-100.0)
Background	100.0 (2844/2844) (99.9-100.0)	100.0 (2894/2894) (99.9-100.0)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method Confidence Interval (CI)

<sup>b</sup> Initial staining attempt <sup>c</sup> Final staining attempt

The IMpower110 study was conducted to evaluate the efficacy and safety of atezolizumab in chemotherapy-naïve patients with metastatic NSCLC. Patients had PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% TC (PD-L1 stained  $\geq$  1% of tumor cells) or  $\geq$  1% IC (PD-L1 stained tumor-infiltrating immune cells covering  $\geq$  1% of the tumor area) based on the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay.

A total of 572 patients were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive atezolizumab (Arm A) or chemotherapy (Arm B). Atezolizumab was administered as a fixed dose of 1200 mg by IV infusion every 3 weeks until loss of clinical benefit as assessed by the investigator or unacceptable toxicity. Randomization was stratified by sex, ECOG performance status, histology, and PD-L1 tumor expression on TC and IC.

Patients were excluded if they had a history of autoimmune disease; administration of a live, attenuated vaccine within 28 days prior to randomization, administration of systemic immunostimulatory agents within 4 weeks or systemic immunosuppressive medications within 2 weeks prior to randomization, active or untreated CNS metastases. Tumor assessments were conducted every 6 weeks for the first 48 weeks following Cycle 1, Day 1 and then every 9 weeks thereafter.

The demographics and baseline disease characteristics in patients with PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% TC or  $\geq$  1% IC who do not have EGFR mutations or ALK rearrangements (n = 554) were well balanced between the treatment arms. The median age was 64.5 years (range: 30 to 87), and 70% of patients were male. The majority of patients were white (84%) and Asian (14%). Most patients were current or previous smokers (87%) and baseline ECOG performance status in patients was 0 (36%) or 1 (64%). Overall, 69% of patients had non-squamous disease and 31% of patients had squamous disease. The demographics and baseline disease characteristics in patients with high PD-L1 expression (PD-L1  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC) who do not have EGFR mutations or ALK rearrangements (n = 205) were generally representative of the broader study population and were balanced between the treatment arms.

The primary endpoint was overall survival (OS). At the time of the interim OS analysis, patients with high PD-L1 expression excluding those with EGFR mutations or ALK

rearrangements (n = 205) showed statistically significant improvement in OS for the patients randomized to atezolizumab (Arm A) as compared with chemotherapy (Arm B) (HR of 0.59, 95% CI: 0.40, 0.89; median OS of 20.2 months vs 13.1 months) with a two-sided p-value of 0.0106. The median survival follow-up time in patients with high PD-L1 expression was 15.7 months.

In an exploratory OS analysis with longer follow up (median: 31.3 months) for these patients, the median OS for the atezolizumab arm was unchanged relative to the primary OS interim analysis (20.2 months) and was 14.7 months for the chemotherapy arm (HR of 0.76, 95% CI: 0.54, 1.09). The key results at the exploratory analysis are summarized in Table 27. The Kaplan-Meier curves for OS and PFS in patients with high PD-L1 expression are presented in Figures 2 and 3. A higher proportion of patients experienced death within the first 2.5 months in the atezolizumab arm (16/107, 15.0%) as compared to the chemotherapy arm (10/98, 10.2%). No specific factor(s) associated with early deaths could be identified.

The observed OS improvement in the atezolizumab arm compared with the chemotherapy arm was consistently shown across subgroups in patients with high PD-L1 expression including both non-squamous NSCLC patients (hazard ratio (HR) of 0.62, 95% CI: 0.40, 0.96; median OS 20.2 vs. 10.5 months) and squamous NSCLC patients (HR of 0.56, 95% CI: 0.23, 1.37; median OS not reached vs. 15.3 months). Data for patients  $\geq$  75 years of age and patients who were never smokers are too limited to draw conclusions in these subgroups.

**Table 27.** Summary of efficacy in patients with high PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  50% TC or  $\geq$  10% IC (IMpower110)

Efficacy endpoints	Arm A (Atezolizumab)	Arm B (Chemotherapy)
<b>Primary endpoint</b>		
Overall Survival	n = 107	n = 98
No. of deaths (%)	64 (59.8%)	64 (65.3%)
Median time to events (months)	20.2	14.7
95% CI	(17.2, 27.9)	(7.4, 17.7)
Stratified hazard ratio $\ddagger$ (95% CI)	0.76 (0.54, 1.09)	
12-month OS (%)	66.1	52.3
<b>Secondary endpoints</b>		
Investigator-assessed PFS (RECIST v1.1)	n = 107	n = 98
No. of events (%)	82 (76.6%)	87 (88.8%)
Median duration of PFS (months)	8.2	5.0
95% CI	(6.8, 11.4)	(4.2, 5.7)
Stratified hazard ratio $\ddagger$ (95% CI)	0.59 (0.43, 0.81)	
12-month PFS (%)	39.2	19.2
Investigator-assessed ORR (RECIST 1.1)	n = 107	n = 98
No. of responders (%)	43 (40.2%)	28 (28.6%)
95% CI	(30.8, 50.1)	(19.9, 38.6)
No. of complete response (%)	1 (0.9%)	2 (2.0%)
No. of partial response (%)	42 (39.3%)	26 (26.5%)
Investigator-assessed DOR (RECIST 1.1)	n = 43	n = 28
Median in months	38.9	8.3
95% CI	(16.1, NE)	(5.6, 11.0)

$\ddagger$  Stratified by sex and ECOG performance status (0 vs. 1)

PFS = progression-free survival; RECIST = Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors v1.1; CI = Confidence Interval; ORR = objective response rate; DOR = duration of response; OS = overall survival; NE = not estimable.




Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival in patients with high PD-L1 expression  $\geq 50\%$  tumor cells or  $\geq 10\%$  immune cells (IMpower110).




Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier curve of progression free survival in patients with high PD-L1 expression  $\geq 50\%$  tumor cells or  $\geq 10\%$  immune cells (IMpower110).

The performance of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay was investigated in OAK (NCT02008227), a Phase III, multi-center, international, randomized, open-label trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of TECENTRIQ treatment in patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC who progressed during or following a platinum-containing regimen.

Patient specimens were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay and evaluated for staining acceptability and for PD-L1 expression. Patient specimens were FFPE NSCLC tissue from needle cores (34.5%), punch biopsies (16.3%), resections (28.7%), or of other type (20.5%); 66.6% were from primary tumors and 33.4% from metastatic tumors.

Table 28 describes the overall staining acceptability rate for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay among all NSCLC specimens screened for the study. The rates of acceptable morphology and acceptable background for PD-L1 stained slides are also reported. Out of a total of 1185 specimens, 72 failed the initial staining attempt and staining was repeated. Twenty six of the 72 samples remained unacceptable (1 due to unacceptable tonsil control, 19 due to unacceptable negative reagent control and 6 due to unacceptable background or morphology). VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay demonstrated high initial (i.e., first-pass) and final overall staining acceptability rates: 93.9% and 97.8%, respectively. Final morphology and background acceptability rates were greater than 99%.

**Table 28.** VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay NSCLC staining performance characteristics for OAK (NCT02008227).

Attribute	Acceptability rate % (n/N) (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	
	Initial <sup>b</sup>	Final <sup>c</sup>
Overall staining acceptability rate	93.9 (1113/1185) (92.4-95.1)	97.8 (1159/1185) (96.8-98.5)
Morphology	98.5 (1122/1139) (97.6-99.1)	99.6 (1160/1165) (99.0-99.8)
Background	98.2 (1119/1139) (97.3-98.9)	99.7 (1161/1165) (99.1-99.9)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method Confidence Interval (CI)

<sup>b</sup> Initial staining attempt    <sup>c</sup> Final staining attempt

The OAK study enrolled 1225 patients with the primary analysis population consisting of the first 850 randomized patients; eligible patients were stratified by PD-L1 expression status in IC, by the number of prior chemotherapy regimens, and by histology. Patients were randomized (1:1) to receive either TECENTRIQ administered intravenously at 1200 mg every 3 weeks until unacceptable toxicity or either radiographic or clinical progression or docetaxel administered intravenously at 75 mg/m<sup>2</sup> every 3 weeks until loss of clinical benefit as assessed by the investigator. Tumor specimens were evaluated prospectively for PD-L1 expression on TC and IC using VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay and the results were used to define the PD-L1 expression subgroups for pre-specified analyses described below.

In the OAK study, among patients in the primary analysis population, the median age was 64 years (range: 33 to 85), and 61% of patients were male. The majority of patients were white (70%). Approximately three-fourths of patients had non-squamous disease (74%), 10% had known EGFR mutation, 0.2% had known ALK rearrangements, and most patients were current or previous smokers (82%). Baseline ECOG performance status was 0 (37%) or 1 (63%). Seventy-five percent of patients received only one prior platinum-based therapeutic regimen. The major efficacy outcome measure of the OAK study was overall survival (OS) in the primary analysis population (first 850 randomized patients). The results of the OAK study, with a median follow up of 21 months, are presented in Table 29 and Figure 4.


Tumor specimens were evaluated prospectively using VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay at a central laboratory and the results were used to define the PD-L1 expression subgroups for pre-specified analyses. Of the 850 patients, 16% were classified as having high PD-L1 expression, defined as having PD-L1 expression on  $\geq 50\%$  TC or  $\geq 10\%$  IC. In an exploratory efficacy subgroup analysis of OS based on PD-L1 expression, the hazard ratio was 0.41 (95% CI: 0.27, 0.64) in the high PD-L1 expression subgroup and 0.82 (95% CI: 0.68, 0.98) in patients who did not have high PD-L1 expression.

**Table 29.** Efficacy results in the primary analysis population from the OAK study (NCT02008227).

Overall Survival (OS)	Atezolizumab (N = 425)	Docetaxel (N = 425)
Deaths (%)	271 (64)	298 (70)
Median, months (95% CI)	13.8 (11.8, 15.7)	9.6 (8.6, 11.2)
Hazard ratio <sup>a</sup> (95% CI)	0.73 (0.62, 0.87)	
p-value <sup>b</sup>	0.0003	
12-month OS (%)	218 (55)	151 (41)
18-month OS (%)	157 (40)	98 (27)

<sup>a</sup> Stratified by PD-L1 expression in tumor-infiltrating immune cells, the number of prior chemotherapy regimens, and histology

<sup>b</sup> Based on the stratified log-rank test    CI = Confidence Interval



No. Patients at Risk	0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27																		
Tecentriq	425	407	382	363	342	326	305	279	260	248	234	223	218	205	198	188	175	163	157	141	116	74	54	41	28	15	4	1
Docetaxel	425	390	365	336	311	286	263	236	219	195	179	168	151	140	132	123	116	104	98	90	70	51	37	28	16	6	3	

Hazard ratio is estimated based on a stratified Cox model; p-value is estimated based on a stratified log-rank test.

**Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival in the primary analysis population of the OAK study (NCT02008227).**

#### CLINICAL PERFORMANCE – NSCLC ≥ 1% TC OR ≥ 1% IC

The efficacy of TECENTRIQ was investigated in IMpower150 (NCT02366143), a multicenter, international, randomized, open-label trial in chemotherapy-naïve patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC. A total of 1202 patients were enrolled and were stratified by sex, presence of liver metastases, and PD-L1 expression status on TC and IC. Patients were randomized (1:1:1) to one of the following three treatment arms.

- TECENTRIQ (atezolizumab) 1200 mg with paclitaxel and carboplatin every 3 weeks for four or six cycles, followed by atezolizumab 1200mg every 3 weeks until loss of clinical benefit (Arm A)
- TECENTRIQ (atezolizumab) 1200 mg with bevacizumab, paclitaxel, and carboplatin every 3 weeks for four or six cycles, followed by atezolizumab 1200mg until loss of clinical benefit and bevacizumab every 3 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity (Arm B)
- Bevacizumab with paclitaxel and carboplatin every 3 weeks for four or six cycles, followed by bevacizumab every 3 weeks until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity (Arm C)

The demographics and baseline disease characteristics of the study population were well balanced between the treatment arms. The median age was 63 years (range: 31 to 90),

and 60% of patients were male. The majority of patients were white (82%). Approximately 10% of patients had known EGFR mutation, 4% had known ALK rearrangements, 14% had liver metastasis at baseline, and most patients were current or previous smokers (80%). Baseline ECOG performance status was 0 (43%) or 1 (57%). 51% of patients' tumors had PD-L1 expression of ≥ 1% TC or ≥ 1% IC, and 49% of patients' tumors had PD-L1 expression of < 1% TC and < 1% IC.

At the time of the final analysis for PFS, patients had a median follow up time of 15.3 months. The intent-to-treat (ITT) population, including patients with EGFR mutations or ALK rearrangements who should have been previously treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors, demonstrated clinically meaningful PFS improvement in Arm B as compared to Arm C (HR of 0.61, 95% CI: 0.52, 0.72; median PFS 8.3 vs. 6.8 months).

At the time of the interim OS analysis, patients had a median follow-up of 19.7 months. The key results from this analysis as well as from the updated PFS analysis in the ITT population are summarized in Table 30. The Kaplan-Meier curve for OS in the ITT population is presented in Figure 5. Figure 7 summarizes the results of OS in the ITT and PD-L1 subgroups. Updated PFS results are also presented in Figures 6 and 8.

**Table 30.** Efficacy results for the intent-to-treat population in IMpower150.

Efficacy Endpoint	Arm A (Atezolizumab + Paclitaxel + Carboplatin)	Arm B (Atezolizumab + Bevacizumab + Paclitaxel + Carboplatin)	Arm C (Bevacizumab + Paclitaxel + Carboplatin)
<b>Secondary Endpoints <sup>a</sup></b>			
Investigator-assessed PFS (RECIST v1.1) <sup>g</sup>	n = 402	n = 400	n = 400
No. of events (%)	330 (82.1)	291 (72.8)	355 (88.8)
Median duration of PFS (months)	6.7	8.4	6.8
95% CI	(5.7, 6.9)	(8.0, 9.9)	(6.0, 7.0)
Stratified hazard ratio <sup>e,f</sup> (95% CI)	0.91 (0.78, 1.06)	0.59 (0.50, 0.69)	---
p-value <sup>b,c</sup>	0.2194	< 0.0001	
12-month PFS (%)	24	38	20
OS interim analysis <sup>g</sup>	n = 402	n = 400	n = 400
No. of deaths (%)	206 (51.2)	192 (48.0)	230 (57.5)
Median time to events (months)	19.5	19.8	14.9
95% CI	(16.3, 21.3)	(17.4, 24.2)	(13.4, 17.1)
Stratified hazard ratio <sup>e,f</sup> (95% CI)	0.85 (0.71, 1.03)	0.76 (0.63, 0.93)	---
p-value <sup>b,c</sup>	0.0983	0.006	
6-month OS (%)	84	85	81
12-month OS (%)	66	68	61
<b>Investigator-assessed Overall Best Response <sup>d,g</sup> (RECIST v1.1)</b>	n = 401	n = 397	n = 393
No. of responders (%)	163 (40.6)	224 (56.4)	158 (40.2)
95% CI	(35.8, 45.6)	(51.4, 61.4)	(35.3, 45.2)
No. of complete response (%)	8 (2.0)	11 (2.8)	3 (0.8)
No. of partial response (%)	155 (38.7)	213 (53.7)	155 (39.4)
<b>Investigator-assessed DOR <sup>g</sup> (RECIST v1.1)</b>	n = 163	n = 224	n = 158
Median in months	8.3	11.5	6.0
95% CI	(7.1, 11.8)	(8.9, 15.7)	(5.5, 6.9)

<sup>a</sup> Primary efficacy endpoints were PFS and OS and they were analyzed in the ITT-wild-type (WT) population, i.e. excluding patients with EGFR mutations or ALK rearrangements.

<sup>b</sup> Based on the stratified log-rank test

<sup>c</sup> For informational purposes; in the ITT population, comparisons between Arm B and Arm C as well as between Arm A and Arm C were not formally tested yet as per the pre-specified analysis hierarchy

<sup>d</sup> Overall best response for complete response and partial response


<sup>e</sup> Stratified by sex, presence of liver metastases and PD-L1 tumor expression on TC and IC

<sup>f</sup> The Arm C is the comparison group for all hazard ratios

<sup>g</sup> Updated PFS analysis and interim OS analysis at clinical cut-off 22 January 2018


PFS = progression-free survival; RECIST = Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors v1.1.

CI = confidence interval; DOR = duration of response; OS = overall survival.



No. Patients at Risk	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
Tecentriq+bevacizumab+paclitaxel+carboplatin	400	380	367	361	351	347	333	320	308	297	288	281	265	244	208	185	162	147	130	112	93	73	62	45	38	32	18	10	2	2	2				
Tecentriq+paclitaxel+carboplatin	402	391	382	369	357	343	332	314	301	287	275	266	258	237	204	176	153	136	120	107	93	76	59	44	31	25	15	10	7	1					
Bevacizumab+paclitaxel+carboplatin	400	388	376	366	344	335	317	303	293	278	255	241	233	209	180	154	139	123	104	90	78	68	51	41	36	27	15	6	3	1	1	1	1		

Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival in IMpower150.



No. Patients at Risk	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
Tecentriq+bevacizumab+paclitaxel+carboplatin	400	376	352	336	326	297	262	240	215	189	174	163	141	127	96	91	76	75	58	55	40	35	26	22	13	12	7	3	1	1	1				
Tecentriq+paclitaxel+carboplatin	402	387	343	313	302	258	204	171	154	116	105	100	87	83	66	62	48	43	31	29	25	23	14	13	6	6	3	3	1						
Bevacizumab+paclitaxel+carboplatin	400	385	353	318	297	261	213	176	154	122	104	93	74	62	43	35	26	22	16	15	8	8	7	6	2	1									

Figure 6. Kaplan-Meier curve of progression free survival in IMpower150.




Figure 7. Forest plot of overall survival in IMpower150, Arm B vs C.




Figure 8. Forest plot of progression free survival in IMpower150, Arm B vs C.

**ANALYTICAL PERFORMANCE – TNBC**

**Scoring Algorithm – TNBC**

TNBC tissue must be evaluated according to the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for TNBC provided in Table 31. Refer to the interpretation guide (P/N 1018231) for additional instructions and representative images.

Table 31. VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for TNBC.

Immune Cell (IC) Staining Assessment <sup>a</sup>	PD-L1 Expression
Absence of any discernible PD-L1 staining OR Presence of discernible PD-L1 staining of any intensity in tumor-infiltrating immune cells covering < 1% of tumor area occupied by tumor cells, associated intratumoral, and contiguous peritumoral stroma	< 1% IC
Presence of discernible PD-L1 staining of any intensity in tumor-infiltrating immune cells covering ≥ 1% of tumor area occupied by tumor cells, associated intratumoral, and contiguous peritumoral stroma	≥ 1% IC

<sup>a</sup> PD-L1 staining in tumor cells should not be included in the scoring determination of TNBC patient tissue.

### Tissue Thickness – TNBC

Tissue thickness was evaluated using 10 unique TNBC specimens (5 PD-L1 ≥ 1% IC and 5 PD-L1 < 1% IC). Duplicate sections at 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 microns were tested for each case. All tissue thicknesses demonstrated appropriate specific staining for PD-L1 and acceptable background levels for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining. No sections exhibited a change in PD-L1 status within the range of thickness tested. The specimens should be cut at 4 μm for staining with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay.

### Repeatability and Intermediate Precision - TNBC

Studies for VENTANA PD-L1 Assay staining of TNBC specimens were completed to demonstrate:

- Intra-day repeatability – 5 replicate slides each from 24 unique TNBC specimens (12 PD-L1 ≥ 1% IC and 12 PD-L1 < 1% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay on a single BenchMark ULTRA instrument within one day.
- Inter-day precision – 10 slides each from 24 unique TNBC specimens (12 PD-L1 ≥ 1% IC and 12 PD-L1 < 1% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay on a single BenchMark ULTRA instrument across 5 non-consecutive days.
- Inter-instrument and inter-lot precision – 27 slides each from 24 unique TNBC specimens (12 PD-L1 ≥ 1% IC and 12 PD-L1 < 1% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay using three lots of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) antibody and three paired lots of OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit and OptiView Amplification Kit, on three BenchMark ULTRA instruments.
- Intra-platform precision – 2 replicate slides each from 10 TNBC specimen (5 PD-L1 ≥ 1% IC and 5 PD-L1 < 1% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay across three BenchMark ULTRA, three BenchMark XT and three BenchMark GX instruments. Agreement rates were calculated relative to the specimen mode for each platform.

All slides were blinded and randomized, and then evaluated using the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for TNBC (Table 31). Results are summarized in Table 32.

**Table 32.** Repeatability and intermediate precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of TNBC specimens.

Repeatability / Intermediate Precision Parameter	Agreement % (95% CI)
Intra-day repeatability (within a single day)	PPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0) NPA: 95.0 (87.2-100.0) OPA: 97.5 (93.3-100.0)
Inter-day precision (5 non-consecutive days)	PPA: 100.0 (96.9-100.0) NPA: 96.7 (92.7-100.0) OPA: 98.3 (96.3-100.0)
Inter-instrument and Inter-lot precision (3 instruments, 3 antibody lots, and 3 detection and amplification kit lots)	PPA: 98.3 (96.0-100.0) NPA: 99.2 (97.2-100.0) OPA: 98.6 (97.1-99.8)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark ULTRA instruments)	PPA: 100.0 (88.6-100.0) NPA: 96.7 (88.9-100.0) OPA: 98.3 (95.0-100.0)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark XT instruments)	PPA: 100.0 (88.6-100.0) NPA: 100.0 (88.6-100.0) OPA: 100.0 (94.0-100.0)
Intra-platform precision (three BenchMark GX instruments)	PPA: 93.3 (83.3-100.0) NPA: 96.7 (88.9-100.0) OPA: 95.0 (90.0-100.0)

### Inter-Platform Concordance – TNBC

Single slides each from 44 unique TNBC specimens (22 PD-L1 ≥ 1% IC and 22 PD-L1 < 1% IC) were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay on one BenchMark ULTRA (reference), one BenchMark XT and one BenchMark GX instrument. All slides were blinded and randomized, and then evaluated using the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for TNBC (Table 31). Results are summarized in Table 33.

**Table 33.** Inter-platform concordance of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of TNBC specimens.

Inter-platform Concordance	Agreement % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>
BenchMark ULTRA: BenchMark XT	PPA: 100.0 (86.2-100.0) NPA: 90.0 (69.9-97.2) OPA: 95.5 (84.9-98.7)
BenchMark ULTRA: BenchMark GX	PPA: 100.0 (86.2-100.0) NPA: 85.0 (64.0-94.8) OPA: 93.2 (81.8-97.7)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

### Reader Precision – TNBC

To assess inter- and intra-reader precision, three pathologists evaluated 60 unique TNBC specimens (30 PD-L1 ≥ 1% IC and 30 PD-L1 < 1% IC) that were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay. Specimens were blinded and randomized prior to evaluation for PD-L1 status using the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay scoring algorithm for TNBC (Table 31). Readers scored all specimens twice, with a minimum of two weeks between reads. The agreement rates between the readers and between each pathologist's reads are summarized Table 34.

**Table 34.** Inter- and intra-reader precision of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of TNBC specimens.

Reader Precision	Agreement % (95% CI)
Inter-reader precision (average of reader-to-reader pairwise comparisons from first read)	APA: 91.1 (84.2-96.6) ANA: 91.1 (84.1-96.7) OPA: 91.1 (85.6-96.7)
Intra-reader precision (average of all three readers' agreement rates between first and second reads)	APA: 93.8 (89.5-97.1) ANA: 93.9 (89.2-97.3) OPA: 93.9 (89.9-97.2)

### Inter-Laboratory Reproducibility Study – TNBC

An inter-laboratory reproducibility study for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay was conducted to demonstrate reproducibility of the assay in determining PD-L1 status in TNBC specimens. Twenty-eight unique TNBC specimens (14 PD-L1 ≥ 1% IC and 14 PD-L1 < 1% IC) were stained at 3 external laboratories on each of 5 non-consecutive days over a period of at least 20 days. Prior to staining, slides were blinded and randomized. At each site, the stained slides were independently evaluated by 2 pathologists (readers). The final staining acceptability rate for the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay was 98.6% in this study. Results are summarized in Table 35.

**Table 35.** Inter-laboratory reproducibility of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay staining of TNBC specimens.

Inter-laboratory Reproducibility	Agreement % (95% CI)
Overall agreement (compared to a consensus score, across sites, days and readers)	PPA: 93.2 (90.4-95.2) <sup>a</sup> NPA: 96.6 (94.4-98.0) <sup>a</sup> OPA: 94.8 (93.1-96.1) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-site agreement (average of site-to-site pairwise comparisons)	APA: 91.5 (84.0-96.6) ANA: 91.3 (83.6-96.4) OPA: 91.4 (90.7-92.0) <sup>a</sup>
Inter-reader agreement (average of reader-to-reader pairwise comparisons within each site)	APA: 93.6 (88.2-97.0) ANA: 93.3 (87.8-96.7) OPA: 93.4 (90.6-95.4) <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method CI

## CLINICAL PERFORMANCE – TNBC

The performance of VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay was investigated in IMpassion130 (NCT02425891), a phase III, double-blind, two-arm, multicenter, international, randomized, placebo-controlled trial conducted to evaluate the efficacy and safety of TECENTRIQ in combination with nab-paclitaxel in patients with unresectable locally advanced or metastatic TNBC that had not received prior chemotherapy for metastatic disease.

Patient specimens were stained with VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay and evaluated for staining acceptability and for PD-L1 expression. Patient specimens were FFPE TNBC tissue from biopsies (66.8%), resections (29.3%), or of other type (3.9%); 64.7% were from primary tumors and 35.3% from metastatic tumors.

Table 36 describes the overall staining acceptability rate for VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay among all TNBC specimens screened for the study. The rates of acceptable morphology and acceptable background for PD-L1 stained slides are also reported. Out of a total of 1284 specimens, 52 failed the initial staining attempt and staining was repeated on 49 samples. There remained 23 unacceptable samples (17 of the 23 due to unacceptable negative reagent control and 6 due to unacceptable background or morphology). VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay demonstrated high initial (i.e., first-pass) and final overall staining acceptability rates: 96.0% and 98.0%, respectively. Final morphology and background acceptability rates were greater than 98%.

**Table 36.** VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay TNBC staining performance characteristics.

Attribute	Acceptability rate % (n/N) (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	
	Initial <sup>b</sup>	Final <sup>c</sup>
Overall staining acceptability rate	96.0 (1232/1284) (94.7-96.9)	98.0 (1258/1284) (97.0-98.6)
Morphology	98.4 (1232/1252) (97.5-99.0)	99.5 (1258/1264) (99.0-99.8)
Background	100.0 (1232/1232) (99.7-100.0)	100.0 (1258/1258) (99.7-100.0)

<sup>a</sup> Two-sided Wilson score method Confidence Interval (CI)

<sup>b</sup> Initial staining attempt    <sup>c</sup> Final staining attempt

IMpassion130 enrolled 902 patients; eligible patients were stratified by presence of liver metastases, prior taxane treatment, and by PD-L1 expression status in IC (IC < 1% of tumor area vs ≥ 1% of the tumor area) assessed by the VENTANA PD-L1 (SP142) Assay. Patients were randomized to receive atezolizumab (840 mg) or placebo by intravenous infusions on days 1 and 15 of every 28-day cycle, plus nab-paclitaxel (100 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) administered via intravenous infusion on days 1, 8 and 15 of every 28-day cycle. Patients received treatment until radiographic disease progression per RECIST v1.1, or unacceptable toxicity.

The demographic and baseline disease characteristics of the study population were well balanced between the treatment arms. Most patients were women (99.6%), 67.5% were white and 17.8% Asian. The median age was 55 years (range: 20-86). Baseline ECOG performance status was 0 (58.4%) or 1 (41.3%). Overall, 41% of enrolled patients had PD-L1 expression ≥ 1% IC, 27% had liver metastases and 7% asymptomatic brain metastases at baseline. Approximately half the patients had received a taxane (51%) or anthracycline (54%) in the (neo)adjuvant setting. Patient demographics and baseline tumor disease in patients with PD-L1 expression ≥ 1% IC were generally representative of the broader study population.

The co-primary efficacy endpoints included investigator-assessed progression free survival (PFS) in the ITT population and in patients with PD-L1 expression ≥ 1% IC per RECIST v1.1 as well as overall survival (OS) in the ITT population and in patients with PD-L1 expression ≥ 1% IC. Secondary efficacy endpoints included objective response rate (ORR) and duration of response (DOR) per RECIST v1.1.

PFS, ORR and DOR results of IMpassion130 for patients with PD-L1 expression ≥ 1% IC at the time of the final analysis for PFS with a median survival follow up of 13 months are summarized in Table 37 with Kaplan-Meier curves for PFS in Figure 9. Patients with PD-L1 expression < 1% IC did not show improved PFS when atezolizumab was added to nab-paclitaxel (HR of 0.94, 95% CI 0.78, 1.13).

An updated OS analysis was performed with a median follow up of 18 months, OS results are presented in Table 37 and Kaplan-Meier curves in Figure 10. Patients with PD-L1 expression < 1% IC did not show improved OS when atezolizumab was added to nab-paclitaxel (HR of 0.97, 95% CI 0.78, 1.20). At the time of the updated OS analysis, an exploratory PFS analysis was performed as presented in Table 37.

**Table 37.** Efficacy results from IMpassion130 in patients with PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% IC.

Key efficacy endpoints	Atezolizumab + nab-paclitaxel	Placebo + nab-paclitaxel
<b>Primary efficacy endpoints</b>	n = 185	n = 184
<b>Investigator-assessed PFS (RECIST v1.1) <sup>c</sup></b>		
No. of events (%)	138 (74.6)	157 (85.3)
Median duration of PFS (months)	7.5	5.0
95% CI	(6.7, 9.2)	(3.8, 5.6)
Stratified hazard ratio <sup>e</sup> (95% CI)	0.62 (0.49, 0.78)	
p-value <sup>a</sup>	< 0.0001	
12-month PFS (%)	29.1	16.4
<b>Investigator-assessed PFS (RECIST v1.1) – Updated exploratory analysis <sup>d</sup></b>		
No. of events (%)	149 (80.5%)	163 (88.6%)
Median duration of PFS (months)	7.5	5.3
95% CI	(6.7, 9.2)	(3.8, 5.6)
Stratified hazard ratio <sup>e</sup> (95% CI)	0.63 (0.50-0.80)	
p-value <sup>a</sup>	< 0.0001	
12-month PFS (%)	30.3	17.3
<b>OS <sup>a,b,d</sup></b>		
No. of deaths (%)	94 (50.8)	110 (59.8)
Median time to events (months)	25.0	18.0
95% CI	(19.55, 30.65)	(13.63, 20.07)
Stratified hazard ratio <sup>e</sup> (95% CI)	0.71 (0.54, 0.93)	
<b>Secondary and exploratory endpoints</b>		
<b>Investigator-assessed ORR (RECIST 1.1) <sup>c</sup></b>		
No. of responders (%)	109 (58.9)	78 (42.6)
95% CI	(51.5, 66.1)	(35.4, 50.1)
No. of complete response (%)	19 (10.3)	2 (1.1%)
No. of partial response (%)	90 (48.6)	76 (41.5)
No. of stable disease	38 (20.5)	49 (26.8)
<b>Investigator-assessed DOR <sup>c</sup></b>		
Median in months	8.5	5.5
95% CI	(7.3, 9.7)	(3.7, 7.1)

<sup>a</sup> Based on the stratified log-rank test.

<sup>b</sup> OS comparisons between treatment arms in patients with PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% were not formally tested, as per the pre-specified analysis hierarchy.

<sup>c</sup> Per final analysis for PFS, ORR, DOR and first interim analysis for OS at clinical cut off 17<sup>th</sup> April 2018

<sup>d</sup> Per second interim analysis for OS and exploratory PFS analysis at clinical cut off January 2<sup>nd</sup> 2019

<sup>e</sup> Stratified by presence of liver metastases, and by prior taxane treatment.

PFS = progression-free survival; RECIST = Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors v1.1.; CI = confidence interval; ORR = objective response rate; DOR = duration of response; OS = overall survival, NE = not estimable




Figure 9. Kaplan-Meier curve of progression-free survival in IMpassion130 in patients with PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% IC.




Figure 10. Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival in IMpassion130 in patients with PD-L1 expression  $\geq$  1% IC.

## REFERENCES

- Blank C, Mackensen A. Contribution of the PD-L1/PD-1 pathway to T-cell exhaustion: an update on implications for chronic infections and tumor evasion. *Cancer Immunol Immunother.* 2007;56(5):739-745.
- Butte MJ, Keir ME, Phamduy TB, et al. Programmed death-1 ligand 1 interacts specifically with the B7-1 costimulatory molecule to inhibit T cell responses. *Immunity.* 2007;27(1):111-122.
- Herbst RS, Soria JC, Kowanetz M, et al. Predictive correlates of response to the anti-PD-L1 antibody MPDL3280A in cancer patients. *Nature.* 2014;515(7528):563-567.
- Bellmunt J, Mullane SA, Werner L, et al. Association of PD-L1 expression on tumor-infiltrating mononuclear cells and overall survival in patients with urothelial carcinoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(4):812-817.
- Brahmer JR, Drake CG, Wollner I, et al. Phase I study of single-agent anti-programmed death-1 (MDX-1106) in refractory solid tumors: safety, clinical activity, pharmacodynamics, and immunologic correlates. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(19):3167-3175.
- Fehrenbacher L, Spira A, Ballinger M et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel for patients with previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (POPLAR): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2016;387(10030):1837-1846.
- Powles T, Eder JP, Fine GD, et al. MPDL3280A (anti-PD-L1) treatment leads to clinical activity in metastatic bladder cancer. *Nature.* 2014;515(7528):558-562.
- Rosenberg JE, Hoffman-Censits J, Powles T, et al. Atezolizumab in patients with locally advanced and metastatic urothelial carcinoma who have progressed following treatment with platinum-based chemotherapy: a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet.* 2016;387(10031):1909-20.
- Topalian SL, Hodi FS, Brahmer JR, et al. Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(26):2443-2454.
- Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, et al. Atezolizumab and Nab-Paclitaxel in Advanced Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379(22):2108-2121.
- Carson F, Hladik C. *Histotechnology: A Self Instructional Text*, 3rd edition. Hong Kong: American Society for Clinical Pathology Press; 2009.
- Roche PC, Hsi ED. *Immunohistochemistry-Principles and Advances. Manual of Clinical Laboratory Immunology*, 6th edition. In: NR Rose, ed. ASM Press; 2002.
- Occupational Safety and Health Standards: Occupational exposure to hazardous chemicals in laboratories. (29 CFR Part 1910.1450). Fed. Register.
- Directive 2000/54/EC of the European Parliament and Council of 18 September 2000 on the protection of workers from risks related to exposure to biological agents at work.
- Anatomic Pathology Checklist. College of American Pathologists. Jul 28, 2015.
- CLSI. *Quality assurance for design control and implementation of immunohistochemistry assay: approved guidelines*. 2nd edition Wayne, PA, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2011.
- Herman GE, Elfont EA. The taming of immunohistochemistry: the new era of quality control. *Biotech Histochem.* 1991;66(4):194-199.
- Hautzer NW, Wittkuhn JF, McCaughey WT. Trypsin digestion in immunoperoxidase staining. *J Histochem Cytochem.* 1980;28(1):52-53.
- Omata M, Liew CT, Ashcavai M, Peters RL. Nonimmunologic binding of horseradish peroxidase to hepatitis B surface antigen. A possible source of error in immunohistochemistry. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1980;73(5):626-632.
- Nadji M, Morales AR. Immunoperoxidase: part 1. The technique and its pitfalls. *Lab Med.* 1983;14:767.

**NOTE:** A point (period/stop) is always used in this document as the decimal separator to mark the border between the integral and the fractional parts of a decimal numeral. Separators for thousands are not used.

The summary of safety and performance can be found here:

<https://ec.europa.eu/tools/eudamed>

## Symbols

Ventana uses the following symbols and signs in addition to those listed in the ISO 15223-1 standard (for USA: see [dialog.roche.com](https://www.dialog.roche.com) for definition of symbols used):



Global Trade Item Number



Unique Device Identification

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

VENTANA, BENCHMARK, OPTIVIEW and the VENTANA logo are trademarks of Roche. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

Additions, deletions or changes are indicated by a change bar in the margin.

© 2021 Ventana Medical Systems, Inc.

## CONTACT INFORMATION



Roche Diagnostics GmbH  
Sandhofer Strasse 116  
D-68305 Mannheim  
Germany  
+800 5505 6606

